# COMM 1B: ARGUMENTATION & PERSUASION

## **Foothill College Course Outline of Record**

Heading	Value
Effective Term:	Summer 2025
Units:	5
Hours:	5 lecture per week (60 total per quarter)
Advisory:	Demonstrated proficiency in English by placement via multiple measures OR through an equivalent placement process OR completion of ESLL 125 & ESLL 249; not open to students with credit in COMM 1BH or SPCH 1B.
Degree & Credit Status:	Degree-Applicable Credit Course
Foothill GE:	Area 1B: Oral Communication & Critical Thinking
Transferable:	CSU/UC
Grade Type:	Letter Grade (Request for Pass/No Pass)
Repeatability:	Not Repeatable

#### **Student Learning Outcomes**

- A successful student will be able to distinguish a fallacious argument from empirical truth.
- A successful student will be able to identify evidence from credible sources in support of research analysis.

## Description

The study and practice of argumentation and persuasion. Review of modern and historical persuasive speech in a variety of contexts and cultures. Analysis of rhetorical theory and application of methods of effective persuasion. Knowledge of the structure and format of various types of argumentation. Participation in speech activities. Little or no experience in public speaking or argumentation/persuasion is required.

## **Course Objectives**

The student will be able to:

- 1. Understand and apply the theoretical foundations of argumentation and persuasion, including inductive and deductive reasoning, the five canons of rhetoric, and Aristotelian proofs of ethos, pathos, and logos.
- Gain ability to research, critically examine, and use supporting materials from primary and secondary sources for credibility, accuracy, and relevance in their presentations.
- Deliver a coherent, logical, persuasive, and sequenced argument, supported by documentation and evidence, while adhering to ethical communication practices.
- 4. Critically evaluate written and oral argumentation, demonstrating an equity-centric rhetorical sensitivity toward diversity, inclusion, belonging, and accessibility.

5. Plan, organize, and execute speech/debate performance, including effective response to counterarguments.

## **Course Content**

- 1. Theoretical foundations of argumentation and persuasion
  - a. Inductive and deductive reasoning
  - b. Five canons of rhetoric
  - c. Ethos, pathos, logos
- 2. Research methods and citation of sources
  - a. Library research to support argumentative positions
  - b. Analysis of contemporary and historical persuasive discourse
  - c. Use of online resources and databases
  - d. Oral and written citation methods
- 3. Delivery of properly-structured oral argument
  - a. Effective use of documentation/evidence
  - b. Adherence to ethical communication practices
- 4. Critical evaluation of written and oral argumentation

   a. Peer evaluation of student speeches, including appreciation of diverse knowledge and abilities
  - b. Demonstrate knowledge of the importance and value of multiple perspectives, including culturally-based viewpoints
  - c. Self-evaluation
- 5. Organization and planning of speech/debate performance a. Effective introductions and conclusions
  - b. Argument structure and development, including effective response to counterarguments in refutation and rebuttal
  - c. Documentation and support of claims
  - d. Utilize verbal and non-verbal skills while successfully managing communication apprehension

# Lab Content

Not applicable.

## **Special Facilities and/or Equipment**

1. Classroom with access to audio/visual aids, especially monitor and VCR.

2. When taught via Foothill Global Access: ongoing access to computer with email software and capabilities; email address; JavaScript-enabled internet browsing software.

# Method(s) of Evaluation

Methods of Evaluation may include but are not limited to the following:

Written examinations on the theories of argumentation

Evaluation of speeches based upon organization of material, clarity of expression, significance of evidence, effectiveness of transitions, and logical progression of ideas

Evaluation of analytical and persuasive writing

Presentation self-evaluation and peer critiques

# Method(s) of Instruction

Methods of Instruction may include but are not limited to the following:

Lectures Discussions and cooperative learning exercises Student oral presentations and demonstrations Written critiques and analyses Electronic discussions/chats

#### **Representative Text(s) and Other Materials**

Nickerson, Raymond S.. Argumentation: The Art of Persuasion. 2020.

Zarefsky, David. <u>The Practice of Argumentation: Effective Reasoning in</u> <u>Communication (Critical Reasoning and Argumentation)</u>. 2019.

Although some of these texts are older than the suggested "5 years or newer" standard, they remain seminal texts in this area of study.

Marteney, Jim. <u>Arguing Using Critical Thinking.</u> 2020. Available as OER: <u>https://asccc-oeri.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/Arguing-Using-Critical-Thinking-PDF.pdf</u>

Worthington, Amber K. <u>Persuasion Theory in Action</u>. 2023. Available as OER: <u>https://socialsci.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Communication/</u> <u>Argument\_and\_Debate/Persuasion\_Theory\_in\_Action\_(Worthington)</u>

#### Types and/or Examples of Required Reading, Writing, and Outside of Class Assignments

- In preparation for in-class debate, completion of evidence portfolio comprised of extensive topic research with full MLA citations; outline and detailed explanation of affirmative, negative, and rebuttal arguments
- 2. Self-evaluation paper, reflecting on progress, effectiveness, and needed improvements
- 3. Written peer speech critique with detailed analysis of content and delivery style, with appreciation for diverse viewpoints and presentation styles
- 4. Analytical and persuasive writing, including opportunities to reflect upon and/or critique the historical foundations of the discipline

## **Discipline(s)**

**Communication Studies**